# **The study of the thermal decomposition of 3-nitro-1,2,4 triazol-5-one (NTO) by DSC, TGA-MS, and ARC**

E.F. Rothgery ', DE. Audette, R.C. Wedlich and D.A. Csejka

*Oiin Research Center, Cheshire, CT 06410-0586 (USA)*  (Received 27 November 1990)

#### **Abstract**

The thermal decomposition of NT0 (3-nitro-1,2,4-triazol-5-one) was studied using DSC, TGA-MS, and ARC. The major gaseous products were identified, the approximate heat of decomposition was determined, and a chemical equation was suggested for the net reaction. It was found that the stability of NT0 is sensitive to the thermal age of the sample. Kinetic analysis suggested an auto-catalytic mechanism. The thermal stability of NT0 was compared with RDX (1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane) and its potential thermal hazard was assessed.

# INTRODUCTION

When new energetic materials are identified, it is necessary to develop a thorough understanding of the potential thermal hazards relating to their safe manufacture, handling and storage. A number of thermal analysis techniques are available for obtaining the required data. These include differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), TGA coupled with mass spectroscopy (TGA-MS), and accelerating rate calorimetry (ARC). We have employed all these methods to investigate fully the thermokinetic properties of the new, insensitive explosive, 3-nitro-1,2,4 triazol-S-one (NTO).

NT0 is a compound which has recently been identified as a potential high explosive [l]. Although this material has been known in the literature for some years, interest in it has been active only since tests revealed it to be very impact-insensitive and thermally stable [2]. Since then it has been evaluated extensively in munitions [3,4].

Researchers at Los Alamos have reported some of the chemical, physical and explosive properties of NT0 and found it to be much less sensitive than 1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane (RDX) or 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT)

 $\frac{1}{1}$  Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

in all respects, and that its performance was similar to that of RDX [5]. Furthermore it possesses the high density of a small ring.

NT0 is now being produced in multi-ton amounts for large-scale formulation and performance evaluation. In conjunction with this scaling-up of manufacture, it was felt that more should be known about its potential hazards; therefore, we set about conducting a thorough thermodynamic and kinetic evaluation of this material.

### EXPERIMENTAL

# *Materials*

NTO (3-nitro-1,2,4-triazol-5-one,  $C_2H_2N_4O_3$ , > 99% purity) was produced by Olin Corporation. Titanium powder (99% pure, 325 mesh, 0.0226  $m^2$  g<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from Johnson Matthey Electronics.

# *Instrumentation, conditions and procedures*

# L3sC *(Du Pont* I090 Analyzer *and DSC cell)*

Runs were made using open aluminum sample pans, a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> over the temperature range 25-350 $^{\circ}$ C, and a nitrogen purge of 80 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. A typical sample weighed 25 mg.

# TGA-MS (Du Pont Instruments, TGA model 951, and Finnigan MAT Ion *Trap Detector, model 700)*

TGA runs were made using a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>, a temperature range of  $25-350$ °C, and a helium purge of 80 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. A typical sample size was *25* mg, The gas evolved from the NT0 decomposition was delivered to the ion trap detector (ITD) by a capillary transfer line heated to 250°C. Mass spectra were collected at a rate of 1 every 2 sec with a mass range of lo-100 atomic mass units.

Approximately 30 set elapsed from the start of the TGA run to collection of the first mass spectrum.

# ARC (Columbia Scientific Industries, model 851-0004)

Runs were made using a sensitivity of  $0.02^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>, a start temperature of 170 $^{\circ}$ C, a stop temperature of 310 $^{\circ}$ C, a heat step of 2 $^{\circ}$ C, and a wait time of 10 min. In a typical ARC experiment, the rate of sample heating is not programmed. Instead, the calorimeter furnace heats up at a rate equal to the sample self-heat rate [6]. Reaction vessels were made of commercially pure titanium. A typical vessel weighs 27 g, and has a volume of 12 ml, an internal surface area of 24 cm<sup>2</sup> and a heat capacity of 3.52 cal  $K^{-1}$ . Sample vessels were cleaned by rinsing them first with deionized water, followed by acetone and then heating them in air to red heat over a Bunsen burner. They

were allowed to cool in a desiccator. This process was repeated until a constant vessel weight was obtained. The reaction vessel was charged with the sample in ambient air. Initial charge weights were limited to between 0.1 and 0.5 g of NTO; an upper limit was necessary to prevent bursting of the vessel. It was calculated that the vessel atmosphere at the start of the test contained approximately 0.1 mmol of oxygen. During the test, the atmosphere in the vessel was self generated. On completion of the test, the vessel was cooled to room temperature, and after the internal pressure had been measured, it was vented and reweighed.

#### **RESULTS**

#### *DSC*

*No* endothermic transitions were observed on heating NT0 through the temperature program. An exotherm having an extrapolated onset temperature of 270 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  and a broad shoulder beginning at 232 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  was observed.

# *TGA-MS*

A typical TGA thermogram is shown in Fig. 1. The onset temperature for rapid decomposition occurred at 270°C, 22.13 min into the run. The rate of weight loss was a maximum at 276°C, 22.57 min into the run.

The residual solid (at 350°C) amounted to roughly 12% of the original NT0 mass. Elemental analysis proved it to have the empirical formula  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O$ . An infra-red spectrophotometric examination of the residue showed that it was not 1,2,4-triazol-3-one.

The gases evolved during the TGA run were identified by mass spectroscopy as they were generated. The total ion count from the ITD mirrored



Fig. 1. TGA thermogram showing the percent  $C_2H_2N_4O_3$  (NTO) weight loss as a function of **run time. Rapid decomposition occurred at 22.13 min into the run and 27O'C.** 



Fig. 2. Evolved gas profiles of the major  $C_2H_2N_4O_3$  (NTO) decomposition products: *m/z* 18; ....e., *m/z* 30; - - - - - -, *m/z 44.* 

the increasing NT0 weight loss and reached a maximum at 22.26 minutes into the run, corresponding closely to the time of the maximum rate of NT0 weight loss. The baseline of the ion count versus run time was flat up to a run time of 21.44 min. The evolved gas profiles of the major products  $(m/z)$ 18, *m/z* 30 and *m/z 44) are* shown in Fig. 2. Also found were *m/z* 28 and  $m/z$  46. To aid in the interpretation of the mass spectrum, an infra-red examination of the evolved gas was made. In this experiment a 13 mg sample of NTO was heated at  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> in a 5 ml sample cell and under a static nitrogen atmosphere from 150 to 290°C. The gas evolved from the sample was admitted continuously into an IR cell through a capillary tube. The IR cell, which has a volume of 5 ml, was maintained at 150°C. The IR spectrum was scanned from 4000 to 600  $cm^{-1}$  with a Nicolet, model 20 SXB, spectrophotometer using a resolution of 6 cm<sup>-1</sup>. At equilibrium, the IR spectrum revealed the following: H<sub>2</sub>O, NO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and N<sub>2</sub>O. A spectrum taken 1 h later showed the presence of NO,. These results suggest that NO, appears in the equilibrium gas mixture by oxidation of NO.

*ARC* 

## *Decomposition of NT0 in its as-received condition*

Several features are apparent when the ARC data are presented as a plot of temperature rate ( ${}^{\circ}C$  min<sup>-1</sup>) against temperature ( ${}^{\circ}C$ ), Fig. 3. Two exotherms were observed. The first exotherm had an onset temperature (point J on Fig. 3) of 186°C and an adiabatic temperature rise ( $\Delta T$ ) of 1.6"C. The associated pressure increase was 0.69 atm and the maximum self-heat rate, which occurred at  $186^{\circ}$ C, was  $0.072^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>.

The onset temperature for the second exotherm (point 0) occurred at 208 $^{\circ}$ C. As a result of this exotherm,  $\Delta T$  was 18 $^{\circ}$ C and the pressure increase



Fig. 3. ARC self-heat rate profiles for  $C_2H_2N_4O_3$  (NTO):  $\circ$ , 0.1066 g of NTO run in the as-received condition;  $\bullet$ , 0.1073 g of NTO run in the as-received condition;  $\Box$ , 0.1068 g of NT0 run after being aged for 1 day at 185°C.

was 10.0 atm. The maximum self-heat rate (point M) was  $282.5^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> and occurred at 223°C. The maximum pressure-generation rate was 162 atm  $g^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup> and occurred at 212°C. Ignition of the sample occurred at 212°C



Fig. 4. ARC pressure profiles for  $C_2H_2N_4O_3$  (NTO): symbols as in Fig. 3.



Fig. 5. Typical ARC result on  $C_2H_2N_4O_3$  (NTO) showing the sample temperature during self-heating as a function of time. The rapid temperature increase occurred at 212°C. Only the major exotherm is shown. The clock started following detection of the first exotherm (not shown):  $\circ$ , 0.1066 g of NTO run in the as-received condition.

(point I). Figure 4 shows the corresponding pressure profile. Pressures of the order of 150 atm  $g^{-1}$  of NTO were developed in the adiabatic reactor.

Between the onset and ignition temperatures the temperature rise was 22% of its total and the pressure increase was 19% of its total for the run. Most of the heat and pressure generation for the run resulted from ignition of the sample. The rapidity of the ignition event is illustrated in the temperature versus time plot in Fig. 5. The adiabatic temperature rise amounts to a heat release of at least  $-77$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. This represents 33% of the molar heat of combustion calculated from heats of formation assuming complete combustion to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , H<sub>2</sub>O, and N<sub>2</sub>. A theoretical value for the heat of formation of NT0 has recently been published [7].

At the end of the run the bomb was cooled to room temperature and the pressure in the bomb was measured. This pressure (corrected to 25°C) was used to calculate the number of moles of gas produced by the decomposition of 1 mole of NTO: the average of eight runs gave 3.3. Table 1 contains the pressure data used for this calculation.

After venting the bomb to ambient pressure, the difference in bomb weights before and after the run indicated the presence of a solid residue. This solid was, on average, present to approximately 10% of the initial charge weight. Results from eight experiments are given in Table 1.

Approximately 40% of the runs showed only the high-temperature exotherm. We compared self-heat rate ( ${}^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>) versus *T* ( ${}^{\circ}$ C) plots obtained from runs exhibiting one and two exotherms in Fig. 3. Both the onset

#### **TABLE 1**

<b>NTO</b> weight <sup>a</sup> (g)	Onset of first exotherm $(^{\circ}C)$	Onset of second exotherm $(^{\circ}C)$	$\Delta T$ g <sup>-1</sup> NTO $(^{\circ}Cg^{-1})$	$\Delta P$ g <sup>-1</sup> NTO at 25°C $(\text{atm } g^{-1})$	% Residual solid (w/w)
	Runs made on NTO in as-received condition				
0.4984	201	219	158	83.26	5.3
0.1534	185	214	180	29.00	8.5
0.1068	ND <sup>b</sup>	228	151	75.93	12.2
0.1106	202	220	183	68.52	13.0
0.1065	185	212	191	64.64	17.0
0.1066	186	208	169	58.43	20.2
0.1073	$ND^b$	214	178	39.25	14.0
0.4979	186	218	165	74.50	5.7
			Runs made on NTO previously aged at $185^{\circ}$ C for 24 h		
0.1068	$ND^b$	200	43	52.99	36.4
0.1066	ND <sup>b</sup>	212	77	32.70	30.4

**Results of the ARC experiments** 

**a NT0 stands for 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazol-5-one.** 

**b ND stands for not detected: the rate was below our limit of detection.** 

temperature and the slope of the higher temperature exotherm are lower for the run that exhibits two exotherms. This observation was found to hold when all runs were compared and suggests that an auto-catalytic mechanism is involved in the NT0 decomposition. The catalyst might be generated during the low-temperature exotherm and active in the high-temperature exothermic reaction.

### *Decomposition of NT0 which was isothermally aged*

Although no exotherm was detected during the isothermal wait period, the bomb pressure increased by 16.3 atm  $g^{-1}$ . On temperature ramping, an exotherm was detected at 212°C (point 0', Fig. 3). Ignition occurred at  $217^{\circ}$ C (point I'). Approximately 45% of the adiabatic temperature rise and 50% of the total pressure increase for the run was generated prior to ignition. A second sample yielded a single exotherm (onset at 200°C) and no ignition. Pressures of the order of 100 atm per gram of NT0 were developed in the reactor.

The isothermally aged sample produced a smaller  $\Delta T$ , fewer moles of gas and a larger percent residual solid, as shown in Table 1.

The fact that the onset temperature for the high-temperature exotherm was significantly lowered by isothermal aging is to be expected from an auto-catalytic mechanism, with the catalyst being formed in the isothermal aging period.

# *Effect of reactor surface, NT0 particle size and confinement*

Increasing the titanium surface area available to the reaction by  $25\%$  (by adding titanium powder to the sample) produced no detectable effect on the self-heat rate.

Runs made on samples of fine NT0 powder and coarse NT0 powder were not significantly different.

The approach to the ignition temperature  $(240^{\circ}C)$  along the reaction exotherm was not as abrupt when NT0 was heated in an open vessel. This could be the result of heat loss by the escape of product gases or a different reaction mechanism altogether. A low-temperature decomposition exotherm was not observed in either of the two runs made in this way.

# *Summary of product analysis*

A chemical equation that accounts reasonably well for the products found is

$$
C_2H_2N_4O_3(s) = \frac{1}{6}C_2H_3N_3O(s) + \frac{1}{6}H_2O(l) + \frac{1}{3}CO_2(g) + \frac{1}{3}N_2O(g) + \frac{3}{2}CO(g) + \frac{4}{3}N_2(g) + \frac{1}{6}NO(g) + \frac{7}{12}H_2(g)
$$

#### **DISCUSSION**

Under adiabatic conditions, the thermal decomposition of NT0 led to a thermal runaway which was characterized by an explosive rate of heat and pressure generation. The temperature of the onset of thermal runaway in a confined, adiabatic reaction occurred at 208°C. The decomposition mechanism changed abruptly at 212°C where an ignition event occurred. Ignition was observed in both confined and unconfined systems.

NT0 is thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition products, yielding at least  $-77$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, but is kinetically quite stable. This kinetic stability was evidenced by the high temperature required to initiate thermal decomposition and by the notably large rate increase produced by a 1°C change in the reaction temperature.

NT0 was found to possess greater thermal stability than RDX [8]. Under nearly identical conditions, the onset temperature for an NT0 thermal runaway was approximately  $28^{\circ}$ C higher than that found for RDX and its ignition temperature was approximately 12°C higher.

We have provided a reasonable chemical equation for NT0 decomposition which accounts for the major products found.

Evidence of an auto-catalytic mechanism in NT0 decomposition was provided by the ARC data. The catalyst could be one of the products of the exothermic, gas-producing reaction which was detected in our adiabatic reactor at temperatures as low as 185°C. The identity of the catalyst was not determined.

We have found that decomposition will consume a significant amount of NTO prior to ignition if the sample is held isothermally at 185°C in the closed ARC bomb for 1 day. In one case, such isothermal aging apparently prevented ignition from occurring on subsequent heating to 310°C.

Factors which effect the rate of thermal decomposition of NT0 might have a significant effect on its performance and thermal stability. These factors do not appear to include the NT0 particle size, at least over the narrow range studied, but do include the isothermal age of the sample.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank G.H. Temme, D.B. Taylor, J.W. Chinn, and D. Kelly for technical assistance.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 K.Y. Lee and M.D. Coburn, Los Alamos Report LA-10302-M& 1985.
- 2 G.I. Chippen, R.P. Bokalder, and V. Grinshtein, Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin., 2 (1966) 110.
- 3 A. Becuwe and A. Delclos, Proc. 9th Symp. on Detonation, Portland, OR. US Department of Energy, Washington, DC, USA, 1989, p. 871.
- 4 K.Y. Lee and M.D. Coburn, U.S. Patent 4,733,610 (1988).
- 5 L.B. Chapman, Proc. 9th Symp. on Detonation, Portland, OR. US Department of Energy, Washington, DC, USA, 1989, p. 401.
- 6 W.W. Wendlandt, Thermal Analysis, 3rd edn., Wiley, New York, 1986, p. 747.
- 7 J.P. Ritchie, J. Org. Chem., 54 (15) (1990) 3553.
- 8 M.R. Ottaway and R.J. Granville, Proc. 8th Symp. Chem. Probl. Connected Stab. Explos., Columbia Sci. Ind., Milton Keynes, UK, 1988, p. 1.